

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,414	02/05/2004		Ben-Zion Dolitzky	1662/607061	6617	
26646	7590	05/24/2005		EXAMINER		
KENYON &		ON	PAVIGLIANITI, ANTHONY JOSEPH			
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			·	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•			1626		

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summan	10/773,414		DOLITZKY ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	The MAN HAR DATE AND A STATE OF THE STATE OF	Anthony J. P	•	1626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
√1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non	-final.		•				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	:(s)								
2) Notice Notice Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S 'No(s)/Mail Date	18) SB/08) 5)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	e	0-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 – 46 are currently pending in the instant application and are subject to the following restriction.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1 11, drawn to a process of making a compound of structure I, as depicted in Claim 1, using a carboxylic acid amide and a tetrazole derivative as starting materials, classified in Class 548, subclass 253.
- II. Claim 12 16 and 19 28, drawn to a process of making a compound of structure I, using a valerimidate derivative and first amine as starting materials, classified in Class 548, subclass 253.
- III. Claim 17, drawn to a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate 5'-(4'-aminomethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole, as classified in Class 548, subclass 252.
- IV. Claim 18, drawn to a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate-1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid ethyl ester, as classified in Class 560, subclass 122.
- V. Claims 29 34, drawn to a process of making a compound of structure I, using a valeramide derivative and 2,6-lutidine and oxalyl chloride as starting materials, and an imidoyl chloride product as a synthetic intermediate, as classified in Class 548, subclass 253.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,414

Art Unit: 1626

VI. Claim 35, drawn to a process of making irbesartan, using 1-(N'-pentanoylamino)cyclopentanecarboxylic acid amide and 5-(4'-bromomethyl-biphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole as starting materials, and the intermediate product 2-butyl-3-[[2'-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl)-biphenyl-4-yl] methyl]-1,3-diazaspiro[4.4]non-1-ene-4-one, as classified in Class 548, subclass 253.

Page 3

- VII. Claim 36, drawn to a process of making irbesartan using a valerimidate derivative and a first amine as starting materials, and the synthetic intermediate product 2-butyl-3-[[2'-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl)-biphenyl-4-yl]methyl]-1,3-diazaspiro[4.4]non-1-ene-4-one, as classified in Class 548, subclass 253.
- VIII. Claim 37, drawn to a process of making irbesartan from a valeramide derivative and 2,6-lutidine and oxalyl chloride, as classified in Class 548, subclass 253.
- IX. Claim 38, drawn to the compound 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminylcyclopentanecarboxylate, as classified in Class 560, subclass 122.
- X. Claim 39, drawn to the compound 4'-pentanaminyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl, as classified in Class 548, subclass 254.
- XI. Claims 40 41, drawn to a process of making irbesartan, including steps using 4'-aminomethyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl with a salt of ethyl valerimidate, and the synthetic intermediate compound 4'-[((1-ethoxy) pentanaminyl) methyl]-2-(1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl, classified in Class 548, subclass 253.
- XII. Claims 42 44, drawn to a process of making irbesartan, including a step using 4'-aminomethyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl and ethyl 1-(1'-ethoxy)

pentanaminyl-cyclopentane carboxylate as starting materials, as classified in Class 548, subclass 253.

XIII. Claims 45 – 46, drawn to a process of making irbesartan, including a step using ethyl 1-amino-1-cyclopentanecarboxylate and a valerimidate derivative as starting materials, as classified in class 548, subclass 253.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. §1.17(i).

Rationale Establishing Patentable Distinctiveness Within Each Group

The above Groups represent general areas wherein the inventions are independent and distinct, each from the other, because of the following reasons:

Group I and Group II are related as independent processes of making compounds of structure I, as depicted in Claim 1. Although the end-product of each synthesis is the same, the inventions of Group I and Group II use different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group I and Group II therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group I and Group III are related as a process for making compounds of structure I (as depicted in Claim 1) and a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate

5'-(4'-aminomethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. **Group I** and **Group III** therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group I and Group IV are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process for making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate-1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid ethyl ester, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group I and Group IV therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group I and Group V are related as independent processes of making compounds of structure I, as depicted in Claim 1. Although the end-product of each synthesis is the same, the inventions of Group I and Group V use different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group I and Group V therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group I and Group VI are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in

Page 6

the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group I and Group VI therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group I and Group VII are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group I and Group VII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group I and Group VIII are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group I and Group VIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group I and Group IX are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and the compound 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminylcyclopentanecarboxylate, respectively. The product of Group IX is not the same as the products made by the process of Group I, and a prior art

reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. **Group I** and **Group IX** therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group I and Group X are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and the compound 4'-pentanaminyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl, respectively. The product of Group X is not the same as the products made by the process of Group I, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group I and Group X therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group I and Group XI are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group I and Group XI therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group I and Group XII are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group I

and Group XII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group I and Group XIII are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group I and Group XIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group II and Group III are related as a process of making a compound of structure I and a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate 5'-(4'-aminomethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group II and Group III therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group II and Group IV are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process for making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate-1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid ethyl ester, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one

invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. **Group II** and **Group IV** therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group II and Group V are related as independent processes of making compounds of structure I, as depicted in Claim 1. Although the end-product of each synthesis is the same, the inventions of Group II and Group V use different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group II and Group V therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group II and Group VI are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group II and Group VI therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group II and Group VII are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group II

and Group VII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group II and Group VIII are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group II and Group VIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group II and Group IX are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and the compound 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminylcyclopentanecarboxylate, respectively. The product of Group IX is not the same as the products made by the process of Group II, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group II and Group IX therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group II and Group X are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and the compound 4'-pentanaminyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl, respectively. The product of Group X is not the same as the products made by the process of Group II, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group II and Group X therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group II and Group XI are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group II and Group XI therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group II and Group XII are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group II and Group XII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group II and Group XIII are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group II and Group XIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group III and Group IV are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate 5'-(4'-aminomethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole and a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate-1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid ethyl ester, respectively. The processes of Group III and Group IV result in different synthetic products, and use different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention; therefore, Group III and Group IV have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group III and Group V are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate 5'-(4'-aminomethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole and a process of making a compound of structure I, respectively. Because the processes in the two inventive Groups use different starting materials, different reagents, are conducted under different reaction conditions, and result in a different product, a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group III and Group V therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group III and Group VI are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate 5'-(4'-aminomethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Because the processes in the two inventive Groups use different starting materials, different reagents, are performed under different reaction conditions, and result in a different product, a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group III

and Group VI therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group III and Group VII are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate 5'-(4'-aminomethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole and a process of making the chemical compound, irbesartan, respectively. Because the processes in the two inventive Groups use different starting materials, different reagents, are performed under different reaction conditions, and result in a different product, a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group III and Group VII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group III and Group VIII are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate 5'-(4'-aminomethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Because the processes in the two inventive Groups use different starting materials, different reagents, are performed under different reaction conditions, and result in a different product, a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group III and Group VIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group III and Group IX are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate 5'-(4'-aminomethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole and the compound 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminylcyclopentanecarboxylate, respectively. The compound of Group IX is not the same product as the product of the process of making the synthetic

starting materials, different reagents, are performed under different reaction conditions, and result in a different product, a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. **Group III** and **Group IX** therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group III and Group X are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate 5'-(4'-aminomethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole and the compound 4'-pentanaminyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl, respectively. The compound of Group X is not the same product as the product of the process of making the synthetic intermediate of Group III. Because the processes in the two inventive Groups use different starting materials, different reagents, are performed under different reaction conditions, and result in a different product, a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group III and Group X therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group III and Group XI are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate 5'-(4'-aminomethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Because the processes in the two inventive Groups use different starting materials, different reagents, are performed under different reaction conditions, and result in a different product, a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group III and Group XI therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group III and Group XII are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate 5'-(4'-aminomethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Because the processes in the two inventive Groups use different starting materials, different reagents, are performed under different reaction conditions, and result in a different product, a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group III and Group XII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group III and Group XIII are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate 5'-(4'-aminomethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Because the processes in the two inventive Groups use different starting materials, different reagents, are performed under different reaction conditions, and result in a different product, a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group III and Group XIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group IV and Group V are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate-1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid ethyl ester and a process of making a compound of structure I, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would

not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group IV and Group V therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group IV and Group VI are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate-1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid ethyl ester and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group IV and Group VI therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group IV and Group VII are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate-1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid ethyl ester and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group IV and Group VII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group IV and Group VIII are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate-1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid ethyl ester and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction

conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. **Group IV** and **Group**VIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group IV and Group IX are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate-1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid ethyl ester and the compound 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminylcyclopentane-carboxylate, respectively. The compound of Group IX is not the same product as the product of the process of making the synthetic intermediate of Group IV. Because the processes in the two inventive Groups use different starting materials, different reagents, are performed under different reaction conditions, and result in a different product, a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group IV and Group IX therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group IV and Group X are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate-1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid ethyl ester and the compound 4'-pentanaminyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl, respectively. The compound of Group X is not the same product as the product of the process of making the synthetic intermediate of Group IV. Because the processes in the two inventive Groups use different starting materials, different reagents, are performed under different reaction conditions, and result in a different product, a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group IV and Group X therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group IV and Group XI are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate-1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid ethyl ester and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group IV and Group XI therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group IV and Group XII are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate-1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid ethyl ester and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group IV and Group XII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group IV and Group XIII are related as a process of making the synthetic intermediate product N-valerimidate-1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid ethyl ester and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group IV and Group

XIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group V and Group VI are related as a process of making a compound of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group V and Group VI therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group V and Group VII are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group V and Group VII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group V and Group VIII are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Although the processes in the two inventive Groups use the same general types of starting materials (2,6 lutidine and oxalyl chloride), they use different reagents and reaction conditions, and result in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not

Application/Control Number: 10/773,414

Art Unit: 1626

anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group V and Group VIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group V and Group IX are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and the compound 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminylcyclopentanecarboxylate, respectively. The product of Group IX is not the same as the products made by the process of Group V, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group V and Group IX therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group V and Group X are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and the compound 4'-pentanaminyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl, respectively. The product of Group X is not the same as the products made by the process of Group V, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group V and Group X therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group V and Group XI are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group V and Group XI therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group V and Group XII are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group V and Group XII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group V and Group XIII are related as a process for making compounds of structure I and a process of making the chemical compound, irbesartan, respectively. Each of the processes in the two inventive Groups uses different starting materials, different reagents, and different reaction conditions, and results in a different product. A prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group V and Group XIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VI and Group VII are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan from two different sets of starting materials (1-(N'-pentanoylamino) cyclo-pentanecarboxylic acid amide plus 5-(4'-bromomethyl-biphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole *versus* a valerimidate derivative and a "first amine"). Although the end-product and claimed synthetic intermediate of each process is the same, the inventions of Group VI and Group VII use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group VI and Group VII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VI and Group VIII are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan from two different sets of starting materials (1-(N'-pentanoylamino) cyclopentanecarboxylic acid amide plus 5-(4'-bromomethyl-biphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole versus a valerimidate derivative plus 2,6-lutidine plus oxalyl chloride). Although the end-product of each process is the same, the inventions of Group VI and Group VIII use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group VI and Group VIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VI and Group IX are related as a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan and the compound 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminylcyclopentanecarboxylate, respectively. The product of Group IX is not the same as the product made by the process of Group VI, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group VI and Group IX therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VI and Group X are related as a process for making the chemical compound irbesartan and the compound 4'-pentanaminyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl, respectively. The product of Group X is not the same as the product made by the process of Group VI, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group VI and Group X therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VI and Group XI are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan using different materials (1-(N'-pentanoylamino) cyclo-pentanecarboxylic acid amide plus 5-(4'-

bromomethyl-biphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole *versus* 4'-aminomethyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl with a salt of ethyl valerimidate). Although the end-product of each process is the same, the inventions of **Group VI** and **Group XI** use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. **Group VI** and **Group XI** therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VI and Group XII are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan using different materials (1-(N'-pentanoylamino) cyclo-pentanecarboxylic acid amide plus 5-(4'-bromomethyl-biphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole *versus* 4'-aminomethyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl and ethyl 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminyl-cyclopentane carboxylate). Although the ultimate product of each process is the same, the inventions of Group VI and Group XII use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group VI and Group XII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VI and Group XIII are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan using different materials (1-(N'-pentanoylamino) cyclo-pentanecarboxylic acid amide plus 5-(4'-bromomethyl-biphenyl-2-yl)-1-trityl-1H-tetrazole *versus* ethyl 1-amino-1-cyclopentanecarboxylate and a valerimidate derivative). Although the ultimate product of each process is the same, the inventions of Group VI and Group XIII use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or

render obvious the other invention. **Group VI** and **Group XIII** therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VII and Group VIII are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan using different starting materials and a different synthetic intermediate (a *valerimidate* derivative plus a first amine, *via* the synthetic intermediate product 2-butyl-3-[[2'-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl)-biphenyl-4-yl]methyl]-1,3-diazaspiro[4.4]non-1-ene-4-one (Group VII) *versus* a *valeramide* derivative and 2,6-lutidine and oxalyl chloride (Group VIII)) [emphasis added]. Although the ultimate products of both processes are the same, the inventions of Group VII and Group VIII use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group VII and Group VIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VII and Group IX are related as a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan and the compound 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminylcyclopentane-carboxylate, respectively. The product of Group IX is not the same as the product made by the process of Group VII, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group VII and Group IX therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VII and Group X are related as a process for making the chemical compound irbesartan and the compound 4'-pentanaminyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl, respectively. The product of Group X is not the same as the product made by the process of Group VII, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate

or render obvious the other invention. Group VII and Group X therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Page 25

Group VII and Group XI are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan using different materials and a different synthetic intermediate (a valerimidate derivative plus a first "amine," via the synthetic intermediate product 2-butyl-3-[[2'-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl)-biphenyl-4-yl]methyl]-1,3-diazaspiro[4.4]non-1-ene-4-one (Group VII) versus 4'-aminomethyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl with a salt of ethyl valerimidate via the synthetic intermediate compound 4'-[((1-ethoxy)pentanaminyl)methyl]-2-(1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl (Group XI)). Although the ultimate products of both processes are the same, the inventions of Group VII and Group XI use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention.

Group VII and Group XI therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VII and Group XII are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan using different materials and a different synthetic intermediate (a valerimidate derivative plus a "first amine," via the synthetic intermediate product 2-butyl-3-[[2'-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl)-biphenyl-4-yl]methyl]-1,3-diazaspiro[4.4]non-1-ene-4-one (Group VII) versus 4'-aminomethyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl and ethyl 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminyl-cyclopentane carboxylate (Group XII)). Although the ultimate products of both processes are the same, the inventions of Group VII and Group XII use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious

the other invention. Group VII and Group XII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VII and Group XIII are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan using different materials and a different synthetic intermediate (a valerimidate derivative plus a "first amine," via the synthetic intermediate product 2-butyl-3-[[2'-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl)-biphenyl-4-yl]methyl]-1,3-diazaspiro[4.4]non-1-ene-4-one (Group VII) versus ethyl 1-amino-1-cyclopentanecarboxylate and a valerimidate derivative (Group XIII)).

Although the ultimate products of both processes are the same, the inventions of Group VII and Group XIII use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group VII and Group XIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VIII and Group IX are related as a process of making the chemical compound irbesartan and the compound 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminylcyclopentanecarboxylate, respectively. The product of Group IX is not the same as the product made by the process of Group VIII, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group VIII and Group IX therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VIII and Group X are related as a process for making the chemical compound irbesartan and the compound 4'-pentanaminyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl, respectively. The product of Group X is not the same as the product made by the process of Group VIII, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate

or render obvious the other invention. Group VIII and Group X therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Page 27

Group VIII and Group XI are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan using different materials (a valeramide derivative and 2,6-lutidine and oxalyl chloride (Group VIII) versus 4'-aminomethyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl with a salt of ethyl valerimidate via the synthetic intermediate compound 4'-[((1-ethoxy)pentanaminyl) methyl]-2-(1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl (Group XI)). Although the ultimate products of both processes are the same, the inventions of Group VIII and Group XI use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group VIII and Group XI therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VIII and Group XII are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan using different materials (a valeramide derivative and 2,6-lutidine and oxalyl chloride (Group VIII) versus 4'-aminomethyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl and ethyl 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminyl-cyclopentane carboxylate (Group XII)). Although the ultimate products of both processes are the same, the inventions of Group VIII and Group XII use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group VIII and Group XII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group VIII and Group XIII are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan using different materials and a different synthetic intermediate (a valeramide derivative and 2,6-lutidine and oxalyl chloride (Group VIII) versus ethyl 1-amino-1-

cyclopentanecarboxylate and a valerimidate derivative (Group XIII)). Although the ultimate products of both processes are the same, the inventions of Group VIII and Group XIII use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group VIII and Group XIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group IX and Group X are related as the compound 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminyl-

trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl,

are separately classified in the art, and a prior art reference anticipating one of the compounds would not anticipate or render obvious the other, and thus **Group IX** and **Group X** represent separate and distinct inventions.

Group IX and Group XI are related as the compound 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminyl-

respectively. The product of **Group IX** is not the same as the product made by the process of **Group XI**, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate

Application/Control Number: 10/773,414

Art Unit: 1626

or render obvious the other invention. **Group IX** and **Group XI** therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group IX and Group XII are related as the compound 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminyl-

cyclopentanecarboxylate,

, and a process of making irbesartan,

respectively. The product of **Group IX** is not the same as the product made by the process of **Group XII**, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. **Group IX** and **Group XII** therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group IX and Group XIII are related as the compound 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminyl-

cyclopentanecarboxylate,

, and a process of making irbesartan,

respectively. The product of **Group IX** is not the same as the product made by the process of **Group XIII**, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. **Group IX** and **Group XIII** therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group X and Group XI are related as the compound 4'-pentanaminyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-

tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl,

, and a process of making irbesartan,

Application/Control Number: 10/773,414 Page 30

Art Unit: 1626

respectively. The product of **Group X** is not the same as the product made by the process of **Group XI**, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. **Group X** and **Group XI** therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group X and Group XII are related as the compound 4'-pentanaminyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-

tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl,

, and a process of making irbesartan,

respectively. The product of **Group X** is not the same as the product made by the process of **Group XII**, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. **Group X** and **Group XII** therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group X and Group XIII are related as the compound 4'-pentanaminyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-

tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl,

and a process of making irbesartan,

respectively. The product of **Group X** is not the same as the product made by the process of **Group XIII**, and a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. **Group X** and **Group XIII** therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group XI and Group XII are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan from at least one different starting material (a salt of ethyl valerimidate (Group XI) versus ethyl

1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminyl-cyclopentane carboxylate (Group XII)). Although the ultimate products of both processes are the same, the inventions of Group XI and Group XII use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group XI and Group XII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Page 31

Group XI and Group XIII are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan from different starting materials (4'-aminomethyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl with a salt of ethyl valerimidate (Group XI) versus ethyl 1-amino-1-cyclopentanecarboxylate and a valerimidate derivative (Group XIII)). Although the ultimate products of both processes are the same, the inventions of Group XI and Group XIII use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group XI and Group XIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

Group XII and Group XIII are related as two independent processes of making irbesartan from different starting materials (4'-aminomethyl-2-(1-trityl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl) biphenyl and ethyl 1-(1'-ethoxy) pentanaminylcyclopentane carboxylate (Group XII) versus ethyl 1-amino-1-cyclopentanecarboxylate and a valerimidate derivative (Group XIII)). Although the ultimate products of both processes are the same, the inventions of Group XIII and Group XIII use different starting materials such that a prior art reference which would anticipate one invention would not anticipate or render obvious the other invention. Group XIII and

Application/Control Number: 10/773,414

Art Unit: 1626

Group XIII therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent distinct subject matter.

In addition, because of the several separate and distinct inventions described above, as well as different classes and subclasses in the application, a search of the inventions in the application would require multiple divergent searches and impose a serious burden upon the examiner to perform a complete search of the defined areas. Therefore, for the reasons given above, the restriction set forth is proper, and not to restrict would impose a serious burden in the examination of this application.

Advisory of Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,414 Page 33

Art Unit: 1626

During a telephone conversation with Jack Starr, Esq., on March 9, 2005, the restriction requirements were discussed, but applicant did not elect by telephone.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the Invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed. 37 C.F.R. §1.143.

Applicant is further advised that a reply to this requirement must identify the specific compound that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Anthony J. Paviglianiti** whose telephone number is (571) 272-3107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane, may be reached at (571) 272-0699. The FAX phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Please note that this is a new central FAX number for all official correspondence.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,414 Page 34

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony J. Paviglianiti

Patent Examiner

TC-1600, Art Unit 1626

KAMAL A. SAEED, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner TC-1600, Art Unit 1626